**Supplementary File 2. Screening Protocol**

## 1. Title & Abstract screening

Instructions (CC & NP):

Proceed through the questions in order. Note that an "unclear" answer never excludes a study. The questions are designed to be as objective as possible. The questions are meant to start with those easier to ascertain and progress to those that will be harder to answer based on a quick read. The screener should feel confident of any "yes" or "no" answer used to exclude a study.

**If you cannot conclusively say "yes" or "no", please mark the study as unclear and it will move on to the next level of screening. You may decide to mark the study with one of the "Maybe" labels for each review.**

| Screening questions – Title & Abstract | No | Yes | Unclear |
|---|---|---|---|
| 1. Does the abstract or title mention CDWs, Domestic Workers, or their employers? | | | |
| IF NO, THEN EXCLUDE | | | |
| 2. Does the study focus on an LMIC, or one of the eligible HICs?* | | | |
| IF NO, THEN EXCLUDE | | | |
| 3. Is the study an eligible study design?** | | | |
| IF NO, THEN EXCLUDE | | | |
| 4. Is the study a Systematic Review? | | | |
| IF YES, INCLUDE & LABEL > systematic review | | | |
| 5. Does the study mention a health, education intervention with CDWs or DWs, or their employers? | | | |
| IF YES, INCLUDE & LABEL > 2: interventions | | | |
| 6. Does the study mention CDW pathways and any outcomes (occupational, social, financial) AFTER CDW? | | | |
| IF YES, INCLUDE & LABEL > 1: trajectories | | | |
| 7. Does the study mention a quantitative survey or measurement of CDW prevalence, health outcomes, risks, abuses? | | | |
| IF YES, INCLUDE & LABEL > 3: measurement tools | | | |
| 8. Does the study try to quantify macroeconomic indicators of CDW or DW at the country or sub-national level? | | | |
| IF YES, INCLUDE & LABEL > 4: economics | | | |

***Eligible HICs: Singapore, Taiwan, Macau, Hong Kong, Brunei. LMICs according to World Bank**

****Eligible study designs: observational (cohort, case-control, cross sectional), qualitative studies, quasi experimental and experimental. Intervention evaluations using any of these designs are eligible. Systematic reviews. Macroeconomic studies, either conceptual or empirical using household data.**

**Labels:**

**1: trajectories**

**2: interventions**

**3: measurement tools**

**4: economics**

**systematic review**

**Maybe 1: trajectories**

- Includes qualitative studies on CDWs generally
- Includes studies with CDW/DW returnees generally

**Maybe 2: interventions**

- Includes descriptive studies on employer, recruiter or public attitudes towards CDW or DW
- Includes media analyses of depictions of CDW, DW

**Maybe 3: measurement tools**

**Maybe 4: economics**

- Includes studies on remittances where they mention CDW or DWs overseas
- Includes studies with large surveys of CDW/FW

**policy**

**street kids**

**child marriage**

- Studies mentioning CDW as alternative pathway to CM

For age, please include all studies for now if they mention Domestic Workers (DW). Studies may include domestic workers aged 25 or below. Filtering for this comes at full text stage – where if only adults aged 25 or over are included, then EXCLUDE the study.

Review leads may opt to refine inclusion/exclusion criteria at full-text screening stage, according to their preference.